	ed States Patent A	AND TRADEMARK OFFICE	UNITED STATES DEPAR United States Patent and Address: COMMISSIONER F P.O. Box. 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22: www.uspto.gov	OR PATENTS
APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/827,034	04/19/2004	Raymon W. Lush		2379
31083 7	590 03/31/2005		EXAM	IINER
THOMTE, M	AZOUR & NIEBERGA	SWIATEK, ROBERT P		
2120 S. 72ND STREET, SUITE 1111 OMAHA, NE 68124			, ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
OMAIIA, NE	10127		3643	
			DATE MAILED: 03/31/200	15

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

.

	Application No.	Applicant(s)
V	10/827,034	LUSH, RAYMON W.
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit
· · ·	Robert P. Swiatek	3643
The MAILING DATE of this communicatio Period for Reply	on appears on the cover sheet w	ith the correspondence address
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR F THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICAT - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 C after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communicati - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory - Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ION. CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a r ion. ;, a reply within the statutory minimum of thir period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MON r statute. cause the apolication to become At	reply be timely filed ty (30) days will be considered timely. ITHS from the mailing date of this communication. 3ANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
Status		
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>19 April 2004</u> .	
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b)	This action is non-final.	
3) Since this application is in condition for a		
closed in accordance with the practice ur	nder Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.E	D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.
Disposition of Claims		
 4) Claim(s) <u>1-5</u> is/are pending in the applica 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are wi 5) Claim(s) <u>1</u> is/are allowed. 6) Claim(s) <u>2-5</u> is/are rejected. 7) Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction 	thdrawn from consideration.	
Application Papers		
9) The specification is objected to by the Example.	aminer.	
10) The drawing(s) filed on <u>19 April 2004</u> is/a	re: a)□ accepted or b)⊠ obje	cted to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection		
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the of 11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the other states and the states of t		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119		
 12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for for a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority docu 2. Certified copies of the priority docu 3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the International E * See the attached detailed Office action for 	uments have been received. uments have been received in A e priority documents have beer Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).	Application No n received in this National Stage
Attachment(s) 1) X Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-9-	48) Paper No	Summary (PTO-413) (s)/Mail Date
 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/ Paper No(s)/Mail Date <u>6-3-04</u>. 	(SB/08) 5) U Notice of 6) Other:	Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)

Application/Control Number: 10/827,034 Art Unit: 3643

DETAILED ACTION

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the

basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claim 5 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hsiao (US 6,408,789

B1). The Hsiao patent discloses a repelling device including a bird feeder 3, a hanger 10 having

a lower end 12 attached to an upper end of the bird feeder, a rotatable disk 1 positioned above the

feeder, a motor 41 for rotating the disk 1, and a load cell 43, 44, 46, 441 that functions to sense

the weight of an animal on the disk and activate the motor 41 to rotate the disk.

Double Patenting

The nonstatutory double patenting rejection is based on a judicially created doctrine grounded in public policy (a policy reflected in the statute) so as to prevent the unjustified or improper timewise extension of the "right to exclude" granted by a patent and to prevent possible harassment by multiple assignees. See *In re Goodman*, 11 F.3d 1046, 29 USPQ2d 2010 (Fed. Cir. 1993); *In re Longi*, 759 F.2d 887, 225 USPQ 645 (Fed. Cir. 1985); *In re Van Ornum*, 686 F.2d 937, 214 USPQ 761 (CCPA 1982); *In re Vogel*, 422 F.2d 438, 164 USPQ 619 (CCPA 1970);and, *In re Thorington*, 418 F.2d 528, 163 USPQ 644 (CCPA 1969).

A timely filed terminal disclaimer in compliance with 37 CFR 1.321(c) may be used to overcome an actual or provisional rejection based on a nonstatutory double patenting ground provided the conflicting application or patent is shown to be commonly owned with this application. See 37 CFR 1.130(b).

Effective January 1, 1994, a registered attorney or agent of record may sign a terminal disclaimer. A terminal disclaimer signed by the assignee must fully comply with 37 CFR 3.73(b).

Claim 5 is rejected under the judicially created doctrine of obviousness-type double

patenting as being unpatentable over claim 16 of U.S. Patent No. 6,604,487 B2. Although the

Application/Control Number: 10/827,034 Art Unit: 3643

conflicting claims are not identical, they are not patentably distinct from each other because claim 16 of the above patent recites a bird feeder, a hanger system in the form of an elongated rod, a rotatable disk, a motor for rotating the disk, and a load cell—appearing in claim 16 as "a switch means" and "biasing means"—for sensing the weight of an animal on the disk and activating the motor.

Claims 2-4 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention. In claim 2, line 1, "said dish" lacks a prior antecedent basis; in each of claims 3, 4, "said first and second support means" lacks antecedent basis.

The drawings are objected to because reference numeral "60" does not appear (note Figure 2 includes a lead line unassociated with any numeral and probably intended for numeral 60). Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will

Application/Control Number: 10/827,034 Art Unit: 3643

be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the straps of claim 4 must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Claims 2-4 would be allowable if rewritten or amended to overcome the rejection(s) under 35 U.S.C. 112, 2nd paragraph, set forth in this Office action.

RPS: @703/308-2700 (current); @571/272-6894 (future) 16 March 2005

Koburt P. S robert **p**. Sw IARY FXAMINER RT UNIT 33? 3443